UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ZEV YOURMAN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

COLUMBIA NY PRESBYTERIAN HOSPITAL; JULIO PUJOLIS JR.; PAUL FREEMAN; J. DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

24-CV-6286 (LTS)

ORDER TO AMEND

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is appearing *pro se*, brings this action under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d, alleging that Defendants discriminated against him because he is Jewish. By order dated August 21, 2024, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), that is, without prepayment of fees. For the reasons set forth below, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint within 60 days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co., 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the claims raised. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470

F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires a complaint to include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true.

Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id.

BACKGROUND

The following facts are drawn from the complaint. On December 8, 2023, at "Columbia Presbyterian['s]" Allen Hospital, "Plaintiff was peacefully and quietly engaged in Jewish religious observances in a room designated for such activity." (ECF 1, at 5.) During this time, Security Manager Julio Pujolis and Sergeant Paul Freeman "told Plaintiff he must leave hospital immediately – Freeman told Plaintiff to 'take your Jewish stuff' off campus." (*Id.*) "Freeman

2

¹ The Court quotes from the complaint verbatim. All spelling, grammar, and punctuation are as in the original unless noted otherwise.

escorted Plaintiff all the way to the main road – this action was part of Columbia and affiliated institutions continuing anti-Semitism." (*Id.*)

DISCUSSION

Plaintiff names "Columbia Presbyterian Hospital" as the defendant and describes events occurring at "Allen Hospital." The Court understands Plaintiff's claims to be directed at New York-Presbyterian Allen Hospital and assumes, for the purposes of this order, that (1) Allen Hospital is operated by Columbia University and that (2) Columbia University receives federal funding, an element of a Title VI claim, as discussed below. Because Plaintiff does not state a claim under Title VI, the Court grants him leave to file an amended complaint.

A. Title VI claim against Columbia Presbyterian Hospital

Title VI prohibits a recipient of federal funds from intentional discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin. *Zeno v. Pine Plains Cent. Sch. Dist.*, 702 F.3d 655, 664 (2d Cir. 2012) (citing 42 U.S.C. § 2000d). "[C]ourts have regularly found that anti-Semitic harassment and discrimination amount to racial discrimination," in violation of Title VI. *T.E. v. Pine Bush Central School Dist.*, 58 F. Supp.3d 332, 354 (S.D.N.Y. 2014).

Here, Plaintiff does not allege enough facts to state a claim under Title VI. First, Plaintiff does not allege any facts describing his role at Allen Hospital or the reason for his being at the hospital. It is therefore unclear if he worked at the hospital or was an admitted patient or a visitor. Second, it is unclear why Plaintiff was in a room designated for religious observance, who informed him of this room's availability, and why a security officer and police sergeant were stationed near this room. Finally, it is unclear why Plaintiff was escorted from Allen Hospital to a road, and whether he had a specific right to be at the hospital, either as an employee or a patient.

Because the complaint does not state a claim against Defendant, but Plaintiff may be able to allege additional facts that would state a claim, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to file an amended complaint, as detailed below in the Leave to Amend section.

B. Title VI claim against the individual defendants

Plaintiff names as Defendants Julio Pujolis and Paul Freeman, the two individuals who allegedly confronted Plaintiff while he was engaged in religious observation. Plaintiff cannot assert claims against these individuals under Title VI, however, because Title VI applies only to entities that receive federal funding. *See Goonewardena v. New York*, 475 F. Supp. 2d 310, 328 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 14, 2007) ("Title VI claims cannot be asserted against an individual defendant because the individual is not the recipient of federal funding."). Because a Title VI claim cannot be brought against an individual defendant, Plaintiff cannot state such a claim against Pujolis and Freeman, and the Court dismisses these claims for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). Because Plaintiff may be able to allege additional facts to state a valid Title VI claim against New York-Presbyterian Allen Hospital, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims. If Plaintiff seeks

to bring other claims against the individual defendants, he must state specific facts showing their personal involvement in violating his rights.

In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. If Plaintiff has an address for any named defendant, Plaintiff must provide it. Plaintiff should include all of the information in the amended complaint that Plaintiff wants the Court to consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred:
- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

REFERRAL TO CITY BAR JUSTICE CENTER'S PRO SE CLINIC

Plaintiff is advised that there is a pro se clinic available to assist self-represented parties in civil cases. The clinic may be able to provide Plaintiff with advice in connection with her case. The clinic is run by a private organization called the City Bar Justice Center; it is not part of, or

run by, the Court (and, among other things, therefore cannot accept filings on behalf of the Court, which must still be made by any self-represented party through the Pro Se Intake Unit).

To make an appointment, Plaintiff must complete the City Bar Justice Center's intake form. If Plaintiff has questions about the intake form or needs to highlight an urgent deadline already disclosed in the form, the clinic can be contacted by phone (212-382-4794) or email (fedprosdny@nycbar.org). In-person appointments in the Thurgood Marshall Courthouse in Manhattan and the Charles L. Brieant Jr. Federal Building and Courthouse in White Plains are available Monday through Thursday, 10am to 4pm. Appointments are also available remotely Monday through Friday, 10am to 4pm.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within 60 days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 24-CV-6286 (LTS). An Amended Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Court dismisses Plaintiff's Title VI claims against Defendants Pujolis and Freeman for failure to state a claim. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

Plaintiff may receive court documents by email by completing the attached form, <u>Consent</u> to Electronic Service.²

6

² If Plaintiff consents to receive documents by email, Plaintiff will no longer receive court documents by regular mail.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *Cf. Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 13, 2025

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	CV
Write the full name of each plaintiff.	 (Include case number if one has been assigned)
-against-	AMENDED
	COMPLAINT
	_ Do you want a jury trial? □ Yes □ No
	_
Write the full name of each defendant. If you need more space, please write "see attached" in the space above and attach an additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names listed above must be identical to those contained in Section II.	

NOTICE

The public can access electronic court files. For privacy and security reasons, papers filed with the court should therefore *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.

I. BASIS FOR JURISDICTION

information for each additional plaintiff.

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation, and the amount in controversy is more than \$75,000, is a diversity case. In a diversity case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What is the basis for federal-court jurisdiction in your case?
☐ Federal Question
☐ Diversity of Citizenship
A. If you checked Federal Question
Which of your federal constitutional or federal statutory rights have been violated?
B. If you checked Diversity of Citizenship
1. Citizenship of the parties
Of what State is each party a citizen?
The plaintiff ,, is a citizen of the State of
(Plaintiff's name)
(State in which the person resides and intends to remain.)
(State in which the person resides and intends to remain.)
or, if not lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States, a citizen or subject of the foreign state of
If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach additional pages providing

If the defendant is an individual:		
The defendant, (Defendant's name)		, is a citizen of the State of
or, if not lawfully admitted for permanen subject of the foreign state of	t residence in the U	- Jnited States, a citizen or
If the defendant is a corporation:		
The defendant,	, is inc	corporated under the laws of
the State of		_
and has its principal place of business in t	the State of	
or is incorporated under the laws of (forei	gn state)	
and has its principal place of business in		<u> </u>
If more than one defendant is named in the cinformation for each additional defendant.		
II. PARTIES		
A. Plaintiff Information		
Provide the following information for each p pages if needed.	laintiff named in th	e complaint. Attach additional
First Name Middle Initial	Last Name	
Street Address		
County, City	State	Zip Code
Telephone Number	Email Address (if available)	

B. Defendant Information

To the best of your ability, provide addresses where each defendant may be served. If the correct information is not provided, it could delay or prevent service of the complaint on the defendant. Make sure that the defendants listed below are the same as those listed in the caption. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant 1:						
	First Name	Last Name				
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)					
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)					
	County, City	State	Zip Code			
Defendant 2:						
	First Name	Last Name				
	Current Job Title (or o	other identifying information)				
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)					
	County, City	State	Zip Code			
Defendant 3:						
	First Name	Last Name				
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)					
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)					
	County, City	State	Zip Code			

Defendant 4:					
	First Name	Last Name			
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)				
	Current Work Addre	ess (or other address where defe	ndant may be served)		
	County, City	State	Zip Code		
III. STATEMI	ENT OF CLAIM				
Place(s) of occur	rrence:				
Date(s) of occur	rence:				
FACTS:					
	nat each defendant pe	ort your case. Describe what ha			

V. PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATION AND WARNINGS

By signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that: (1) the complaint is not being presented for an improper purpose (such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation); (2) the claims are supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument to change existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

I agree to notify the Clerk's Office in writing of any changes to my mailing address. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Each Plaintiff must sign and date the complaint. Attach additional pages if necessary. If seeking to proceed without prepayment of fees, each plaintiff must also submit an IFP application.

Dated		Plain	tiff's Signature
First Name	Middle Initial	Last I	Name
Street Address			
County, City		State	Zip Code
Telephone Number		Emai	l Address (if available)
I have read the Pro Se (Nonprisoner) Conse	nt to Recei	ve Documents Electronically:
□ Yes □ No			
	receive documents e not consent, please o		, submit the completed form with your name the form.

FEDERAL PRO SE LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROJECT

in the Southern District of New York (SDNY)



ABOUT THE PROJECT


The Federal Pro Se Legal Assistance Project (Fed Pro) provides limited assistance to selfrepresented litigants (plaintiffs and defendants) with cases involving civil legal matters in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (SDNY).

HOW TO SCHEDULE AN APPOINTMENT

To schedule an appointment for assistance with City Bar Justice Center's Fed Pro project, you must first complete our online Intake Form.

- Our online Intake Form is accessible via one of these methods:
 - Scan the QR code to the right.
 - Go to bit.ly/prosesdny





Once you complete the form, someone will contact you, usually within five business days, to schedule an appointment. If you are not able to complete the Intake Form, please call (212) 382-4794, leave a detailed message, and wait for us to call you back, typically within five business days.

HOW WE HELP

While we cannot provide full representation, we can assist litigants by providing limited-scope services such as:



Counseling about potential federal claims prior to filing suit



Interpreting and explaining federal



law and procedure



Assisting with the **settlement** process (including mediation)

Consulting on **discovery**

matters

